

## Notes on a February 25, 2008 discussion without a board quorum

The purpose of meeting that had been planned, but for which a quorum could not be present, was to follow up on the point raised at the February 9, 2008 meeting concerning whether the PGHA might fall within the definition of the Davis-Stirling Act. Isotalo reported on a telephone conversation with Wanden Treanor, the association's attorney, who advised that we do not meet the D-S definition. This information would be passed along informally and within future board meetings, where it could be part of decision-making.